

Appln. No. 09/696,095
Amdt. dated January 19, 2007
Reply to Office Action dated November 2, 2006

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Reconsideration of the present application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

The November 2, 2006 Final Office Action and the Examiner's comments have been carefully considered. In response, claims are amended, and remarks are set forth below in a sincere effort to place the present application in form for allowance. The amendments are supported by the application as originally filed. Therefore, no new matter is added.

Inasmuch as the present Amendment raises no new issues for consideration, and, in any event, places the present application in condition for allowance or in better condition for consideration on appeal, its entry under the provisions of 37 CFR §1.116 are respectfully requested.

REJECTION UNDER 35 USC 102

In the Office Action claims 57-62 are rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 006116505A (Withdraw).

The Examiner's indication in item 10 at page 4 of the Office Action that the specification discloses arbitrary selection of

Appln. No. 09/696,095
Amdt. dated January 19, 2007
Reply to Office Action dated November 2, 2006

media by the user, and that the instant rejection can be overcome by properly claiming this limitation is acknowledged and appreciated.

In response, claims 57 and 60 are amended in order to include the selection of media by the user as suggested by the Examiner. As acknowledged by the Examiner, it is respectfully submitted that the prior art does not teach this limitation. In view of the amendment of claims 57 and 60, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 57 and 60 are respectfully requested.

Claims 58, 59, 61 and 62 are either directly or indirectly dependent on claims 57 and 60, and are patentable over the references of record in view of their dependence on claims 57 and 60, and because the references do not disclose, teach or suggest each of the limitations set forth in dependent claims 58, 59, 61 and 62.

In view of all of the foregoing, the passing of this application to allowance with claims 57-62 is respectfully requested.

* * * * *


Appln. No. 09/696,095
Amdt. dated January 19, 2007
Reply to Office Action dated November 2, 2006

Entry of this Amendment under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.116, allowance of the claims and the passing of this application to issue are respectfully solicited.

If the Examiner disagrees with any of the foregoing, the Examiner is respectfully requested to point out where there is support for a contrary view.

If the Examiner has any comments, questions, objections or recommendations, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number given below for prompt action.

Respectfully submitted,



Robert P. Michal
Reg. No. 35,614

Frishauf, Holtz, Goodman & Chick, P.C.
220 Fifth Avenue
New York, New York 10001-7708
Tel. (212) 319-4900
Fax (212) 319-5101
RPM:ms